United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

RECEIVED

2022 MAR - 3 P 4: 29

CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT NO. DIST. OF CA.

MOTION TO REPLY TO CMC PLAINTIFF FAILURE TO FILE A JOINT STATEMENT

Cornelius Lopes

Plaintiffs

V.

Kevin DeLeon, Nancy Pelosi, Gavin Newsom, Maria Elena Durazo, Eloise Gomez Reyes, Janet Napolitano, Eleni Kounalakis, Xavier Becerra, Alex Padilla, Carl Guardino, Devin Nunes, Democratic National Committee, Congressional Hispanic Caucus, State of California

Defendants,

You Honor on 2-22-2022 the plaintiff paid for the process of service of certified mail that the compliant, the Order Setting CMC and Summons to be sent to the defendants. The process of services should mail the services to the Court prior to 3 10 2022 but it is that short window from the tome the complaint was delivered which prevented the plaintiff from being able to contact the defendants as they can only be reached by certified mail. The plaintiff request more time and believes by 3-29-2022 the CMC should be able to be completed by all parties involved.

Correlin Loper

| Your Name: | Cornelius Lopes | |
|------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Address: | 6251 Quartz Place, Newar | k,CA 94560 |
| Phone Number: | 510 364 0640 | |
| Fax Number: | | |
| E-mail Address: | corneliuslopes@gmail.com | n |
| Pro Se | | |
| | | |
| | UNITED STA | TES DISTRICT COURT |
| | NORTHERN DIS | STRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| Division | n [check one]: 🗷 San Fran | cisco 🗆 Oakland 🗆 San Jose 🗆 Eureka |
| Cornelius Lopes | 3 |) Case Number: 21-CV-09379 |
| F Vs. | Plaintiff, |) |
| Nancy Pelosi, et | al., | CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT |
| | | |
| | | -) TIME: 1:30 pm |
| | | JUDGE: Hon. James Donato |
| | |) |
| I | Defendant. | _) |
| | , | _) |
| | | |
| | ructions for more detailed | information about how to complete this template |
| See the Inst | ructions for more detailed | |

1. JURISDICTION Mark the option that applies to your case. 2 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction in this case under: 3 Federal question jurisdiction because it is about federal laws or rights. [List the laws 4 or rights involved] HR4502 is a Federal Constitutional "policy" which replaces reexisting 5 federal immigration laws as a deterance with providing social services, jobs and voting rights. 6 Diversity jurisdiction because none of the Plaintiffs live in the same state as any of the 7 Defendants AND the amount of damages is more than \$75,000. 8 9 2. SERVICE Complete the table to show when each defendant was served with the Complaint and whether 10 any defendant will argue that this Court is not the correct one to decide this case. 11 Does Defendant Does Defendant dispute Date Served or dispute that this is the that the Court has 12 Defendant's Name Expected to Serve correct venue? personal jurisdiction? 13 2-22-2022 see attached sheet Yes **√** No Yes √ No 14 15 2-22-2022 of defendants served **V** No **V** No Yes Check box if there are more defendants, and provide the above information for each 16 defendant on an additional page at the end of this document. 17 18 Give a short description of the important facts in this case including facts that you and the other side disagree about. Add an additional page if needed. 19 H.R. 4502 an operation decision which replaces all pre-existing federal immigration, which 20 makes H.R. 4502 tax fraud a "political" Act amount to slavery of the Negro race and violated 21 their Fifth Amendment, as well as the Fourteenth Amendment protects even those presence in 22 this country is unlawful, involuntary, or transitory is entitled to that constitutional protection. 23 HR4502 expands Medicaid to illegal immigrants Section 4106 Amends SSA title XIX Medicaid 24 coverage of preventive services to illegal immigrants. HR4502 provids income under the earned 25 income tax credit only to illegal immigrants and they are allowed to go back to 2020 to a tax 26 credit. HR4502 allows for that tax credit to be \$110,000 per child, and HR4502 excludes 27 American citizens. HR4502 is unconstituitional and is peonage which is owning a human being. 28 CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; CASE NO.: 21-CV-09379 PAGE NO. 2 OF 7 JDC TEMPLATE Rev. 05/17/

| 1 2 | 7. EVIDENCE PRESERVATION Parties to a lawsuit must make sure that they are protecting and not destroying evidence | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 3 | that might be used in the case. Check the correct box or boxes. | | |
| 4 | • The submitting party [name]has | | |
| 5 | reviewed the Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information | | |
| 6 | spoken with the opposing parties about preserving evidence relevant to the issues | | |
| 7 | one could reasonably understand to be part of this case | | |
| 8 | plans to do the above by [date] 3-29-2022 | | |
| 9 | ☐ Check if you need to list more parties, and provide the above information for each party on an additional page at the end of this document. | | |
| 10 | 8. <u>INITIAL DISCLOSURES</u> | | |
| 11 12 | Initial Disclosures are lists of information that the parties must send each other at the beginning of a case. Check the box that applies, and provide the agreed date if needed. | | |
| 13 | Parties have sent each other Initial Disclosures. | | |
| 14 | Parties have <u>not</u> yet sent each other Initial Disclosures, but agree to exchange | | |
| 15 | them by [date] 3-29-2022 | | |
| 16 | 9. <u>DISCOVERY</u> | | |
| 17 | Give a short description of what you plan to investigate during discovery and if there are any discovery issues. | | |
| 18 | How many illegal imigrnats are wmplyed by the State of California and the federal government | | |
| 19 | Federal and State government emplyees cannot hire illegal imigratnts they must hire Americans. | | |
| 20 | Did Nancy Pelosi authorize the CDC to provide illegal immigrants with Covid 19 benefits? | | |
| 21 | How much money and what are the assets to the Peace and Freedom Party of California? | | |
| 22 | How many delegates have the Peace and Freedom Party of California been given or promised? | | |
| 23 | California Department of Social Services receives money and distributes it tto illegal immigrants | | |
| 24 | HR5376 is a work contract as a constitutional amendment. | | |
| 25 | To prove the defendants are EVIL people. | | |
| 26 | 10. <u>CLASS ACTIONS</u> | | |
| 27 | Not applicable. | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |
| | CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; CASE NO.: 21-CV-09379 PAGE NO. 4 OF 7 JUNC TEMPLATE - Rev. 05/17/ | | |

| 11. <u>RELATED CASES</u> |
|--|
| Check the correct box to explain whether you are aware of any cases related to this one If you check the second box, list the case number and the court, government agency, or other administrative body that will decide that case. |
| The party submitting this statement |
| is not aware of any related cases. |
| is aware of related cases [list cases]: Santa Clara County case#21cv385753 |
| District Court San Francisco #20-cv-07758, Appeals Court #21-16476 |
| 12. RELIEF SOUGHT |
| State what the Plaintiff wants from the Defendant, or wants the Court to do, including any amount of money sought and how that amount was calculated. If a Defendant filed a counter or crossclaim, state the same information for the Defendant. Insert a page if needed. |
| The defendants removed from office are tried by the US Military for treason and peonage. |
| The relief saught is to get their 401K state and federal balances. |
| Relief saught is to have the illegal immigrants removed from the Unired States. |
| |
| Relief saught is the Californa pledge delegates and perminate delegates at conventions. |
| Relief saught is Superdelegats throught e primaries and caucuses af all state and fedeal nominee |
| Reflief is to remove companies associated with the SVLG as vendors for any federal and state |
| Relief is to have illegal immigrants removes from all U.S. food and medical supples. |
| Relief is to stop educational, medical from States and the Feds to be given to illegal immigrants. |
| 13. <u>SETTLEMENT AND ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION ("ADR")</u> |
| Check at least one box in each section. If you need information to help you decide how to resolve the case, explain what that information is. |
| The parties: The submitting party agrees to the following form of |
| have tried to settle the case. ADR: |
| ☑ have not tried to settle the ☐ Settlement conference with a magistrate judge |
| case. Mediation |
| ✓ Other |
| Information needed: discovery and depositions of the defendants is needed. |
| |
| |
| |
| CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; CASE NO.: 21-CV-09379 |
| |

| 14. CONSENT TO HAVE A MAGISRATE JUDGE HEAR THE CASE |
|---|
| Mark one option to let the Court know if you consent to have a magistrate judge hear the case. |
| The submitting party [name] |
| does consent to a magistrate judge. |
| does <u>not</u> consent to a magistrate judge. |
| Check box if you need to list more parties, and provide the above information for each party on an additional page at the end of this document. |
| 15. OTHER REFERENCES |
| In unusual cases, the judge may refer a case to another decision-maker. If this is one of those cases, cross out "Not Applicable," and write in who should hear this case. |
| Not applicable. |
| 16. <u>NARROWING OF ISSUES, CLAIMS, OR DEFENSES</u> |
| Use this section to explain if issues in this case could be resolved by agreement or by written papers submitted by the parties ("motion"). Check the box that applies, and explain. |
| ✓ Not applicable. |
| Issues that can be resolved by agreement: |
| Issues that can be resolved by motion: |
| 17. EXPEDITED TRIAL PROCEDURE If you have questions about the Court's Expedited Trial Procedure, contact the Legal Help Center. |
| Not applicable. |
| 18. SCHEDULING The Court usually fixes the case deadlines. If you want to propose a schedule, you can do so below. Be sure you will be in town and able to meet any deadlines proposed. ✓ Agree to have Court set deadlines. Proposed deadlines: |
| |

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; CASE NO.: 21-CV-09379 PAGE NO. 7 OF 7 JDC TEMPLATE Rev. 05/17/